

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<b>ROBERT W. WARRINGTON,</b>	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Civ. Act. No. 06-67-SLR
	:	
<b>THOMAS L. CARROLL,</b>	:	
Warden, and <b>CARL C. DANBERG,</b>	:	
Attorney General for the State of Delaware,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

**MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Pursuant to Rule 6 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, respondents move for an extension of time in which to file an answer to the petition. In support thereof, respondents state the following:

1. The petitioner, Robert w. Warrington, has applied for federal habeas relief, challenging his 2001 conviction by a Superior Court jury for first degree murder, possession of a deadly weapon during the commission of a felony, and first degree conspiracy. D.I. 1. By the terms of the Court's order, the answer is due to be filed on May 8, 2006.

2. Counsel for respondents is currently actively involved in last minute capital litigation regarding an execution scheduled for May 19. Counsel also has been, and continues to be, working on other cases before this Court, the Third Circuit, and the state courts. Moreover, the two attorney vacancies in the Appeals Division have meant an increased workload overall. In light of the situation, additional time is needed to complete the answer and have it reviewed in the ordinary course of business.

3. Under Habeas Rule 4, the Court has the discretion to give respondents an extension of

time exceeding the 40-day limit in Civil Rule 81(a)(2). *Clutchette v. Rushen*, 770 F.2d 1469, 1473-74 & n.4 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1985); *Kramer v. Jenkins*, 108 F.R.D. 429, 431-32 (N.D. Ill. 1985). The comment to Rule 4 expressly states that the district court has "the discretion to take into account various factors such as the respondent's workload" in determining the period of time that should be allowed to answer the petition.

4. This is respondents' first request for an extension of time in this case.

5. Respondents submit that an extension of time to and including June 22, 2006, in which to file an answer is reasonable. Respondents submit herewith a proposed order.

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan  
Deputy Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
820 N. French Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 577-8500  
Del. Bar. ID No. 3759

DATE: May 3, 2006

**RULE 7.1.1 CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that I have neither sought nor obtained the consent of the petitioner, who is incarcerated and appearing *pro se*, to the subject matter of this motion.

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan  
Deputy Attorney General

Counsel for Respondents

Date: May 3, 2006

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 3, 2006, I electronically filed a motion for extension of time and attachments with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I also hereby certify that on May 3, 2006, I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the same documents to the following non-registered participant:

Robert W. Warrington  
SBI No. 442182  
Delaware Correctional Center  
1181 Paddock Road  
Smyrna, DE 19977

/s/ Elizabeth R. McFarlan  
Deputy Attorney General  
Department of Justice  
820 N. French Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 577-8500  
Del. Bar. ID No. 3759  
elizabeth.mcfarlan@state.de.us

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<b>ROBERT W. WARRINGTON,</b>	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Civ. Act. No. 06-67-SLR
	:	
<b>THOMAS L. CARROLL,</b>	:	
Warden, and <b>CARL C. DANBERG,</b>	:	
Attorney General for the State of Delaware,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

**ORDER**

This \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2006,

WHEREAS, respondents having requested an extension of time in which to file an answer, and

WHEREAS, it appearing to the Court that the requested extension is timely made and good cause has been shown for the extension,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that respondents' answer shall be filed on or before June 22, 2006.

\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge